FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1228 OF 2007

[Gulab Aabasha Bhosale. Vs. State.]

Office Notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	: Court's or Judge's orders

None for the Petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Mhambre, APP, for the State.

CORAM : R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR AND SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 07, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned APP for the State. None appeared for the Petitioner.

2. The petitioner had preferred this Petition for releasing him on parole or furlough leave.

3. The learned APP, on instructions, states that the petitioner cannot be granted furlough leave in view of Rule 4, sub-rule (2) of the Bombay Furlough and Parole Rules, 1959. The learned APP, on instructions, further states that the authorities were willing to grant him parole however in respect of the surety-Mrs.Surekha Gulab Bhosale (wife of the petitioner) suggested by the petitioner, the report of the police shows that she was not found on the address supplied by the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner was not released on parole.

4. Looking to these facts, in case, the petitioner furnishes an executable surety, the authorities to release the petitioner on parole on usual terms and conditions.

5. Writ Petition is disposed of

accordingly. Jail authorities to communicate this order to the petitioner who is in Yervada Central Prison, Pune.

[R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR,J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]